

Influence of Hypertension on pH of Saliva and Flow Rate in Elder Adults Correlating with Oral Health Status

VIJAYALAXMI NIMMA¹, HARSHAVARDHAN TALLA², MANASA POOSA³, MADHULATHA GOPALADAS⁴, DEEPIKA MEESALA⁵, L JAYANTH⁶

ABSTRACT

Introduction: One of the most prevalent systemic conditions seen in the elderly individuals is hypertension, but very sparse knowledge regarding the influence of hypertension on oral health is known.

Aim: Therefore, this study was carried out to evaluate the relationship between hypertension and salivary pH, flow rate and its effect on oral health status.

Materials and Methods: The study included 40 elderly individuals above 60 years of age, of which 20 individuals (control group) were normotensive without using any medication and 20 individuals (study group) were hypertensive using anti-hypertensive medication. Stimulated and unstimulated saliva samples were collected from all individuals and pH was measured using saliva pH strips, flow rate was measured using

calibrated test tubes and was correlated with oral health status. Then the values were sent for statistical analysis where unpaired t-test, chi-square test were used.

Results: The results showed that there was no significant association of hypertension with stimulated and unstimulated flow rate, rather there was a significant association of hypertension with stimulated salivary pH i.e., there was a significant decrease in the pH of stimulated saliva. The study also revealed a significant association with presence of bleeding on probing and periodontal pockets in individuals who were hypertensive and using anti-hypertensive medication.

Conclusion: Hence, it is a necessity to monitor blood pressure for reconstruction and maintenance of oral health in older adults. More longitudinal studies are to be carried out to explore further relationship between oral health and hypertension in older adults.

Keywords: Anti-hypertensive medication, Bleeding on probing, Periodontal pocket

INTRODUCTION

Saliva is important in the maintenance of oral health by exhibiting numerous host defense functions such as lubrication, anti-microbial activity, control of mineralization potential of teeth and others [1]. The unstimulated salivary flow rate is 0.1-0.3ml/min, with an average total of 16 hours of unstimulated saliva flow being 300 ml with a pH 7.2 -7.4 [2]. Salivary flow rate during sleep is nearly zero. The maximum stimulated salivary flow rate is 1.5-7ml/min. 80-90% of the daily salivary secretion is produced by stimulated saliva [3].

This miraculous fluid of oral cavity also reflects the systemic condition of an individual. Hypo-salivation is seen in patients with renal problems, hypertension, and diabetes. Significant changes in salivary output and its composition are also seen in anxiety, depression disorders, stress and other systemic diseases [4]. Hypertension is a highly prevalent cardiovascular disease, which affects over 1 billion people worldwide. Although more than 70% of hypertensive patients are aware of the disease, only 23.49% are treated, and fewer (20%) achieve control. Hypertension is defined as systolic and diastolic blood pressures with values >140mmHg and >90mmHg respectively, the prevalence of which varies by age, race, and education [4]. Saliva is gaining popularity as a diagnostic tool for evaluating physiologic and pathologic conditions by virtue of its ease of collection method, non-invasiveness and low cost [5,6]. Thus, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the influence of hypertension on pH of saliva, saliva flow rate in elderly individuals and correlating it with oral health status.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The cross-sectional study included 40 elderly individuals of age between 60-75 years, who came with various dental problems to the Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Meghna Institute of Dental Sciences, Nizamabad, Telangana, India. A pilot study was first conducted and the statistics were sent to statistician who then determined the sample size for the present study. Ethical clearance

was taken from the ethical clearance committee and written consent was taken from all the patients before start of the study. They were divided into two groups: Group A- 20 individuals who were hypertensive and using anti-hypertensive medication; Group B- 20 patients without hypertension and have not used any medication. A detailed medical history and clinical examination was done and oral health status was evaluated by recording the number of teeth present, bleeding on probing, probing depth, gingival recession, clinical attachment loss and oral hygiene index. [Table/Fig-1] depicts the armamentarium used in the study.

Collection of Saliva [Table/Fig-2-4]: Patients were instructed not to eat or drink 2 hours before the collection of saliva and they were made to sit comfortably in inclined position on dental chair



[Table/Fig-1]: Armamentarium used for the study. [Table/Fig-2]: Method of collection of saliva. [Table/Fig-3]: Litmus paper showing basic pH. [Table/Fig-4]: Litmus paper showing acidic pH.

in relaxed state. Unstimulated saliva was collected in graduated tubes. Then flow rate was measured for every 1min for 5 minutes and pH was measured using pH indicating papers (Finar Chemicals Limited). Stimulated saliva was collected by asking the patient to chew paraffin wax and then restrain the saliva into graduated test tube, flow rate was measured for every 1min for 5 minutes and pH was measured using pH papers. Gingival bleeding was recorded by gently probing the gingival sulcus using a probe. Individuals with bleeding on probing from more than 30% of the teeth were considered as bleeding on probing present. Periodontal probe was used to measure the loss of attachment and depth of pocket. Oral hygiene was recorded using simplified oral hygiene index, which

included two components i.e., debris index and calculus index [7].

Inclusion Criteria: Older adult co-operative patients only on anti-hypertensive medication for hypertension were included in the study group. Age and gender matched healthy co-operative older adults without hypertension and not using any anti-hypertensive medication and willing to participate in the study were taken as the control group.

Exclusion Criteria: Patients with systemic diseases and on medications for the same were excluded. Specific diseases that affect the salivary output/composition like diabetes mellitus, Sjogren's syndrome, malignancies and its complications were also excluded. The obtained values were sent for statistical analysis, where unpaired t-test was used for the comparison of stimulated and unstimulated salivary flow rates and pH between control and study groups, chi-square test was used for comparison of presence or absence of bleeding on probing, periodontal pockets, clinical loss of attachment between control and study group.

RESULTS

Mean comparison of stimulated and unstimulated salivary flow rates and pH between control and study group is shown in [Table/Fig-5]. Comparison of bleeding on probing between control and study group is shown in [Table/Fig-6]. Among 20 individuals in control group only 12 individuals had bleeding on probing, where as in study group all the 20 individuals had bleeding on probing and as the p-value is <0.05 it was considered as significant.

Number of teeth having periodontal pocket >3mm and frequency comparison between control and study group is shown in [Table/Fig-7]. The number of individuals having pockets is same in both study and control group i.e., 20. But the number of individuals having pocket depth more than 3mm was more in hypertensive patients and as the p-value is less than 0.05, it was considered as significant. Number of teeth having clinical loss of attachment >5mm and frequency comparison between control and study group is shown in [Table/Fig-8].

Variable	Groups	Mean	SD	Mean±SD	T value	p-value
SSFR	Control group	3.30	0.70	0.33±0.05	1.530	0.134 NS
	Study group	3.63	0.65			
USSFR	Control group	2.73	0.68	0.15±0.31	0.867	0.391 NS
	Study group	2.58	0.37			
SSpH	Control group	6.50	0.69	0.55±0.14	2.228	0.028 S
	Study group	7.05	0.83			
USSpH	Control group	5.75	1.12	0.70±0.11	1.880	0.068 NS
	Study group	5.05	1.23			

[Table/Fig-5]: Comparison of SSFR, USSFR, SSpH and USSpH between control and study group.

Statistical Analysis: Unpaired t test. Statistical significance at p<0.05. (SSFR - stimulated salivary flow rate, USSFR- unstimulated salivary flow rate, SSpH- stimulated saliva ph, USSpH- unstimulated saliva pH, NS-non-significant, S-significant, SD-standard deviation).

Bleeding on Probing	Present n(%)	Absent n(%)	Total n(%)
Control group	12(60.0)	8(40.0)	20 (100.0)
Study group	20 (100.0)	0(0.0)	20 (100.0)

[Table/Fig-6]: Comparison of bleeding on probing between control and study group.

Statistical Analysis: Chi-square test. Chi-square value=10.000, p-value=0.002.

Oral hygiene index comparison between control and study group is shown in [Table/Fig-9].

DISCUSSION

One of the most prevalent systemic conditions among the elderly individuals is hypertension but very little is known with respect to the influence of hypertension on oral health and function. Forty elderly adults who were divided into two groups as described above were taken into the study. Stimulated and unstimulated saliva were

No. of teeth	Control group n(%)	Study group n(%)
Code 0	0(0.0)	12 (60.0)
Code 1	6 (30.0)	1 (5.0)
Code 2	8 (40.0)	5 (25.0)
Code 3	6 (30.0)	2 (10.0)
Total	20 (100.0)	20 (100.0)

[Table/Fig-7]: Comparison of number of teeth having pockets > 3mm between control and study group.

Statistical Analysis: Chi-square test. Chi-square value=18.264, P value=0.000. Code 0: no pockets, Code 1: pockets >1mm, Code 2: pocket >2mm, Code 3: pockets >3mm; n is the number of individuals.

No. of teeth	Control group n(%)	Study group n(%)
Code 0	7 (35.0)	1 (5.0)
Code 1	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)
Code 2	8 (40.0)	6 (30.0)
Code 3	5 (25.0)	6 (30.0)
Code 4	0 (0.0)	5 (25.0)
Code5	0 (0.0)	1 (5.0)
Code 6	0 (0.0)	1 (5.0)
Total	20 (100.0)	20 (100.0)

[Table/Fig-8]: Comparison of clinical loss of attachment between control and study group.

Statistical Analysis: Chi-square test. Chi-square value=11.877, p-value=0.008

OHI	Control group n(%)	Study group n(%)
Good	7 (35.0)	5 (25.0)
Fair	11 (55.0)	13 (65.0)
Poor	2 (10.0)	2 (10.0)
Total	20 (100.0)	20 (100.0)

[Table/Fig-9]: Comparison of oral hygiene index between control and study group. Statistical Analysis: Chi-square test. Chi-square value=0.500, p-value=0.779; OHI- oral hygiene index.

collected to measure the flow rate and pH. Unstimulated whole saliva plays a key role in protecting the oral tissues representing the salivary gland status and is considered to be present for about 14 hours a day [8]. Whereas, stimulated saliva which is present in the oral cavity for about 2 hours a day represents the secretion during food intake (physiologic stimulation), indicating the functional reserve of the gland. In our study we had chosen to measure both stimulated and unstimulated saliva in order to evaluate both i.e., gland status and functional reserve [9].

Study showed significant association of hypertension/anti-hypertensive medication with salivary pH and oral hygiene index. In our present study there was no significant difference in the salivary flow rates between normotensive and hypertensive individuals which was similar to study done by Streckfus CF et al., and Niedermeier W et al., [4, 10].

A significant decrease in stimulated salivary pH was seen in our study, which can be attributed to xerostomia caused by decreased fluid volume and loss of electrolytes secondary to increased urination and dehydration in study group [11].

Bleeding on probing was significant in the study group, which is in accordance with the study done by Leye M et al., in 2014 [12]. A nationwide survey in United States by Tsakos G et al., also showed significant association between gingival bleeding and hypertension in adults. A significant increase in the incidence of periodontal pockets and clinical attachment loss was seen in study group in comparison with the control group, which could be due to increased release of markers of inflammation like, C - reactive protein and proinflammatory cytokines in hypertensive individuals [13]. The present study was also in accordance with Engstrom S et al., who found significant association of hypertension with periodontal pocket of greater than or equal to 5mm [14].

The study group showed the oral hygiene index values which were not significant as few elderly adults maintained their oral hygiene well despite the use of medications which is contrary to study done by Leye M et al., in 2014, which showed a significant association between oral hygiene index and hypertension [12].

Salivary performance for similar medical conditions may not be same in all. However, according to Ship JA et al., the values for stimulated salivary flow rate among hypertensive persons do coincide with the range of normal values [15]. Thus, longitudinal studies with emphasis on stages of hypertension would be more conclusive in determining the normal salivary flow rates and pH and its effects on oral structures.

LIMITATION

Limitation of the study is that it was carried on a very smaller sample size for shorter duration of the time. Better correlation between hypertension and salivary flow rate, pH and oral hygiene status could have been obtained if the study has been carried on larger sample size for longer duration in different stages of hypertensive patients using different types of anti-hypertensive medications.

CONCLUSION

It is imperative from our study that hypertension and use of anti-hypertensive medications has definitive effect on pH of stimulated saliva which can be attributed to many oral detrimental changes. We did not observe any association of this systemic disease on salivary flow rates which do not preclude its effect on it, rather more research with longitudinal type of study design would be needed for confirming the flow rate alterations in hypertensive patients. Hence, there is a necessity to monitor blood pressure for reconstruction and maintenance of oral health in elderly adults.

REFERENCES

- [1] Sindhu S, Jagannathan N. Saliva: A cutting edge in diagnostic procedures. *Journal of Oral Diseases*. Volume 2014 (2014), Article ID 168584, 8 pages.
- [2] Prasanthi B, Kannan N, Patil RR. Effect of diuretics on salivary flow, composition and oral health status: A clinico biochemical study. *Annals of Medical and Health Sciences Research*. 2014;4(4):549-53.
- [3] Kagawa R, Ikebe K, Enoki K, Murai S, Okada T, Matsuda K, et al. Influence of hypertension on pH of saliva in older adults. *J Oral Dis*. 2013;19(5):525-29.
- [4] Streckfus CF, Wu AJ, Ship JA, Brown LJ. Comparison of stimulated parotid salivary gland flow rates in normotensive and hypertensive persons. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1994;77(6):615-19.
- [5] Chiappin S, Antonelli G, Gatti R, De Palo EF. Saliva specimen: A new laboratory tool for diagnostic and basic investigation. *Clinica Chimica Acta*. 2007;383(1-2):30-40.
- [6] Moura SAB, Medeiros AMC, Costa FRH, Moraes PH, Oliveira Filho SA. Valor diagnóstico da saliva em doenças orais e sistêmicas: uma revisão de literatura. *Pesquisa Brasileira em Odontopediatria Clínica Intergrada*. 2007;7:187-94.
- [7] Soben Peter. Text Book of Essentials of Preventive and Public Health Dentistry, edition 5, Chapter-18 Indices in Dental Epidemiology, pg no. 420.
- [8] Fenoll-Palomares C, Muñoz Montagud JV, Sanchiz V, Herreros B, Hernández V. Unstimulated salivary flow rate, pH and buffer capacity of saliva in healthy volunteers. *Revista Espanola de Enfermedades Digestivas*. 2004;96(11):773-83.
- [9] Sreebny CM. Saliva in health and disease: An appraisal and update. *Int Dent J*. 2000;50:140-61.
- [10] Niedermeier W, Dreizen S, Stone RE, Spies TD. Sodium and potassium concentrations in the saliva of normotensive and hypertensive subjects. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol*. 1956;9:426-31.
- [11] Scully C. Drug effects on salivary glands; dry mouth. *Oral Dis*. 2003;9:165-76.
- [12] Leye M, Diouf M, Madozein WST, Jobe M, Sarr El HM, Manga SJ, et al. Hypertension and periodontal status in Senegalese patients: A case-control study. *J Epidemiol*. 2014;4:25-29.
- [13] Tsakos G, Sabbah W, Hingorani AD, Netuveli G, Donos N, Watt RG, et al. Is periodontal inflammation associated with raised blood pressure? Evidence from a National US survey. *J Hypertens*. 2010;28(12):2386-93.
- [14] Engström S, Gahnberg L, Höglberg H, Svärdsudd K. Association between high blood pressure and deep periodontal pockets: A nested case-referent study. *Ups J Med Sci*. 2007;112(1):95-103.
- [15] Ship JA, Fox PC, Baum BJ. How much saliva is enough? Normal function defined. *J Am Dent Assoc*. 1991;122:63-69.

PARTICULARS OF CONTRIBUTORS:

1. Reader, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Meghna Institute of Dental Sciences, Nizamabad, Telangana, India.
2. Professor, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Meghna Institute of Dental Sciences, Nizamabad, Telangana, India.
3. Postgraduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Meghna Institute of Dental Sciences, Nizamabad, Telangana, India.
4. Senior Lecturer, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Meghna Institute of Dental Sciences, Nizamabad, Telangana, India.
5. Postgraduate Student, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Meghna Institute of Dental Sciences, Nizamabad, Telangana, India.
6. Assistant Professor, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Government Dental College, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India.

NAME, ADDRESS, E-MAIL ID OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Dr. Vijayalaxmi Nimma,
Reader, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Meghna Institute of Dental Sciences,
Nizamabad-503003, Telangana, India.
E-mail: vijibijbiji@gmail.com

Date of Submission: **Sep 14, 2015**

Date of Peer Review: **Oct 29, 2015**

Date of Acceptance: **Feb 08, 2016**

Date of Publishing: **Nov 01, 2016**

FINANCIAL OR OTHER COMPETING INTERESTS: None.